

PATENT
392.6

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)	
)	Art Unit 2609
ZE'EV DRORI)	
)	Examiner: Weldon, U.
Serial No. 08/334,843)	
)	
Filed: November 4, 1994)	
)	
For: ELECTRONICALLY PROGRAMMA-)	
BLE REMOTE CONTROL ACCESS)	
SYSTEM)	

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

In further response to the office action mailed July 17, 1995, for which applicant filed an initial response on October 23, 1995, kindly consider the following further remarks.

REMARKS

The Examiner is thanked for the curtesy in conducting a personal interview with the undersigned on February 20, 1996. This paper will concern only the rejection under 35 USC § 103.

The Examiner raised comments concerning the Pinnow reference. In the discussion entitled "Background of the Invention," U. S. Patent 3,872,435 was characterized as a reference which "discloses an opto-electronic security system wherein the key apparatus and the lock apparatus are precoded and which does not include an integral power source. The lock device code is preset during the manufacture by hard wiring the components to transmit only a factory-assembled code." Clearly, however, this teaching is of a system which is precoded, i.e. the key and the lock apparatus are precoded. This does not teach or suggest the desirability of a system comprising a hardwired transmitter